


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants have carefully reviewed the above identified application in light of the Office Action dated November 14, 2005. Applicants have cancelled claims 14-17. Consequently, claims 1-13 and 18-22 now remain in the present application.

The Office Action has allowed claims 1-13 and 18-22. Claims 14-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,078,884 (Downey). In the interests of furthering prosecution, Applicants have cancelled claims 14-17 thus rendering the rejections of those claims moot.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully Submitted,
Minos N. Garofalakis, et al

By: 
Thomas J. Onka, Esq.
Attorney for Lucent

Synnestvedt Lechner & Woodbridge LLP
P.O. Box 592
Princeton, NJ 08542
609-924-3773 phone
609-924-1811 fax